



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

| Application Number | Filing Date | First Named Applicant | Attorney Docket Number |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 08/796,040         | 02/05/97    | Colpan                | P58125US1              |

| Examiner    |
|-------------|
| L. E. Crane |

| Art Unit | Paper No. |
|----------|-----------|
| 1211     | 25        |

DATE MAILED: n/a

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel)

(1) Mr. William E. Player (3) ☐

(2) Examiner L. E. Crane (4) ☐

Date of Interview: September 4, 1997

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy given to) ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: See attachment.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some of all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached

Claim(s) discussed: See page 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: See page 2.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment:  
See page 2.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would be allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 713.04) If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, the completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form. 3

PTOL-413 (amended 4/4/97)

COPY FOR ☒ File ☐ Applicant

Continued on next page(s) -->>

Serial No. 08/796,040

2

Art Unit 1211

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY(cont.)

Claims discussed: All claims remaining of record, claims 62, 64, 69, 71-72, and 79-80 specifically.

Identification of prior art discussed: the Henco '426 and Little '430 patents.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: Applicant and examiner resolved questions concerning rejections under 35 USC §112. Extended discussions of the grounds of the rejection under 35 USC §103 were conducted, but did not result in an agreement concerning whether the art was properly applied.